



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,319	07/15/2005	Philippe A. Tessier	6013-149US	3470
20988	7590	10/30/2008	EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT LLP			WEN, SHARON X	
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE				
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			1644	
CANADA				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,319	TESSIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHARON WEN	1644	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHARON WEN.

(3) Louise Bernier.

(2) Phillip Gabel.

(4) Philippe Tessier.

Date of Interview: 23 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Seto et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Seto reference was discussed with regard to the 103(a) rejection of record. In particular, Applicant points out that Seto et al. teach intracellular administration of inhibitors of S100A8 and S100A9 proteins whereas claim 14 is drawn to administering antibody to a subject which suggest extracellular inhibition of neutrophil recruitment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Phillip Gabel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644	/Sharon Wen/ Examiner, Art Unit 1644
--	---